Occultation Newsletter

Volume III, Number 6

January, 1984

Occultation Newsletter is published by the International Occultation Timing Association. Editor and Compositor: H. F. DaBoll; 6 N 106 White Oak Lane; St. Charles, IL 60174; U. S. Å. Please send editorial matters, renewals, address changes, and reimbursement requests to the above, but for new memberships, new subscriptions, back issues, and any special requests, write to IOTA; P. O. Box 3392; Columbus, OH 43210-0392; U.S.A.

FROM THE PUBLISHER

For subscription purposes, this is the fourth and final issue of 1983.

o.w.'s price is \$1.40/issue, or \$5.50/year (4 issues) including first class surface mailing. Back issues through $vol.\ 2$, No. 13 still are priced at only \$1.00/issue; later issues @\$1.40. Please see the masthead for the ordering address. Air mail shipment of o.w. back issues and subscriptions is $45 \cline{t}$ /issue (\$1.80/year) extra, outside the U.S.A., Canada, and Mexico.

IOTA membership, subscription included, is \$11.00/ year for residents of North America (including Mexico) and \$16.00/year for others, to cover costs of overseas air mail. European and U. K. observers should join IOTA/ES, sending DM 20.-- to Hans-J. Bode, Bartold-Knaust Str. 8, 3000 Hannover 91, German Federal Republic.

IOTA NEWS

David W. Dunham

The first IOTA annual meeting in Houston, TX, last November, was very successful, as reported by Charles Herold on p. 118. While in Texas, I also gave talks about last May's Pallas occultation at Rice University, Houston, and to a joint meeting of Ft. Worth and Dallas amateurs organized by George Ellis. George Ellis also attended the IOTA meeting, where he discussed possible cooperation of the Astronomical League with IOTA, especially for coordinating asteroid occultation observations. Don Archer already had sent me a listing of the addresses of all astronomical societies belonging to the Astronomical League, as well as of all (over 8000) individual A.L. members, conveniently arranged geographically by ZIP code. We noted how home computers might be used to disseminate last-minute predictions rapidly via mailgram-like services and computer bulletin boards.

Since IOTA must hold its annual meeting in Texas, Ellis suggested that we might hold the 1984 meeting in conjunction with the Texas Star Party in western Texas, the first weekend of June. This would result in a larger attendance and more publicity, as more amateur astronomers would be going there. However, observing the May 30th annular solar eclipse probably will make an early June meeting difficult, and it appears unlikely that three members of the IOTA board of directors, needed to conduct business,

would be able to attend. So the second annual meeting probably will be held late in the year again, in eastern Texas, and the 1985 meeting likely will be held with the Texas Star Party. However, we should not make too many plans until we hear from the Internal Revenue Service about our application for tax-exempt status. In late October, the I.R.S. sent us a letter asking for more information, and we have not yet received a reply to our response. If they deny tax-exempt status, IOTA probably will disincorporate.

I thank Rice University's Department of Space Physics and Astronomy for paying the cost of my round-trip plane ticket to Houston last November. The General Dynamics Recreation Association in Ft. Worth, Don Stockbauer, John Cotton, Paul Maley, and Walter Morgan provided lodging, meals, and other transportation.

The analysis of lunar occultation observations was set back severely last month when Tom Van Flandern left the U.S. Naval Observatory. Little progress with the analysis had been made during the last year as disputes with Captain Roberts and other administrators, about working hours and other matters, took more of Van Flandern's time. Although Captain Roberts prevailed, Van Flandern is undertaking legal actions to reinstate his position. In the meantime, the most sophisticated software for analysis of lunar occultation data sits idle in disk files at U.S. N.O. Since H.M. Nautical Almanac Office discontinued its lunar occultation work in 1981 (except for a low-level effort, which lasted another year, to complete some publications about its final analyses), the only workers I know who are paid to analyze lunar occultation data are now at the International Lunar Occultation Centre in Japan. Unfortunately, their manpower is limited; their work of preparing prediction information for publication, and of keypunching the observations and computing and distributing residuals, leaves little time for analysis. At U.S.N.O., Marie Lukac continues to work part time to produce the total occultation predictions, obtaining advice from Van Flandern and me. But the future of even this vital work is not certain. So far. Lukac and Alan Fiala have argued successfully for continuing the work, but there are some in the Nautical Almanac Office who would just as soon see it ended. But with Van Flandern not on the scene, occultations have lost a powerful spokesman. The finding that G is essentially constant will not help; see p. 118.

The ILOC finally distributed residuals for 1981 oc-

cultation timings to observers a few months ago. Some have been confused by the format of the distributed lists. I have begun an independent calculation of the residuals for a small sample of observations at U.S.N.O. and plan to publish the results in the next o.n.

On rare occasions, an SAO number less than 17 will appear in the USNO total occultation predictions. Since such SAO stars are north of declination +80°, they are not true SAO numbers. They are actually error codes for AGK3-catalog stars which are not in the SAO catalog.

In spite of late-night breakdowns of our van, both coming and going, we felt that the meeting of the American Astronomical Society's Division of Planetary Science in Ithaca, NY, last October, was very successful. R. Millis and E. Bowell described possible 1984 occultations by (1) Ceres and by Halley's Comet; see p. 129. Observations of occultations by (375) Ursula on 1982 November 15 and by (93) Minerva seven days later were described in o.n. 3 (3), 52. L. Wasserman reported in Ithaca that analyses of the observations at Lowell Observatory gave a diameter of 216 ±4 km for Ursula and 173 ±2 km for Minerva. The actual uncertainty is probably about ±10 km due to lack of coverage near the limits of both occultations. Since no ellipticity was evident, only circular fits were made. S. J. Ostro reported that a double peak in a radar reflection with the Arecibo radio telescope for the small asteroid (2201) Oljato indicates that it might be a contact binary. Speckle observations of (532) Herculina and my first solution from analysis of last September's occultation by (51) Nemausa were reported at Ithaca and described in Sky and Telescope 67 (1), 60. I led a small meeting about publication of the results from the occultation of 1 Vulpeculae by (2) Pallas in May. We decided that the paper probably should be Submitted to the Astronomical Journal. In addition to the occultation observations and analysis, we would list all miss observations to show the observational coverage in the vicinity of Pallas. We also would list everyone who travelled to observe the occultation, but were clouded out, to document the overall effort for this unique event. I hope to have a first draft of the paper ready in February. In the meantime, Paul Maley's account of the Texan effort for the event soon will be published in Astronomy, as well as translated versions in Italian, Latin American, and Swiss magazines. A first draft of the results from the occultation of 14 Piscium by (51) Nemausa should be ready in March or April.

Reports of lunar occultation timings made in the Soviet Union during 1980 and 1981 recently were received. Some grazing occultation observations were reported, including one of Aldebaran recorded at five stations on 1980 March 21 with the temperature -15°C! In a letter dated 1983 November 15, A. Osipov, Kiev, said that the Soviet report for 1982 was in press, and that a report for 1983 would follow in a few months. He reported that the occultation of 8.6-mag. SAO 104751 by (2) Pallas was observed from Engelhardt Observatory in Kazan on 1983 May 4, and that the occultation of 9.4-mag. SAO 41289 by (36) Atlante [Ed: misspelled Atalante in 1983 predictions] was seen in Khabarovsk last October 9th.

After the Soviet report for 1982 is received, and after the observations for 1982 are received on mag-

netic tape from ILOC, we will be able to make a final analysis of occultations timed during the total lunar eclipses which occurred that year. David Herald already has analyzed 105 timings during the July 6th eclipse and 141 timings during the December 30th eclipse made in Australia and New Zealand, and published the results in Circular C83/9 of the Occultation Section of the Royal Astronomical Society of New Zealand (1983 October). In the same publication, Graham Blow reports that Peter Anderson timed a 6.7-second occultation of SAO 183401 by (10) Hygiea on October 8 at Bundaberg, Queensland. The disappearance was at 9h 47m 48\$3.

Hans-Joachim Bode recently sent me a report of the regional meeting held 1983 October 15 in Kiel, German Federal Republic. Asteroidal occultations were the main subject of discussion, and a cooperative network of observers of possible events in the G.F. R. and in Denmark was established. We hope that the network can be expanded to other European countries when the third European Symposium on Occultation Projects (ESOP III) will be held in June, probably on the 23rd, in either Czechoslovakia or Denmark. I will be attending an astrometry meeting near Munich earlier that week, so I plan to be at ESOP III.

The Astronomical League will be meeting in Milwaukee, WI, on 1984 August 1-4. I plan to be in the Los Angeles area at the time and will be unable to attend. Anyone who would be interested in speaking at a possible IOTA session or workshop during the meeting should contact Dan Koehler, W248 S7040, Sugar Maple Dr., Waukesha, WI 53186, phone 414,662-2987.

I apologize for my delays in preparing material for this issue; I know many of you are anxious to learn about this year's planetary and asteroidal occultations. Some information on early January events was distributed by Joseph Carroll in rough form along with the 1984 appulse predictions which he computes for all IOTA members. I again have not had time to publish organized accounts of grazes and asteroidal occultations and appulses, but plan to do so in the next issue, which will be targeted for late March.

THE TIMEKUBE/WEATHERADIO; WHETHER OR NOT

Don Stockbauer and Ken Thomson

[Ed: The following is an independent field evaluation, and solely represents the viewpoints of the authors.]

Many of us have waited eagerly for Radio Shack's newest Timekube/Weatheradio to appear in the stores, as a source of time signals. Stockbauer purchased one and was not pleased with the time signal reception at all! His old model Timekube almost always received WWV clearly on one or another of the three frequencies. Playing the two models simultaneously, he noticed that often the old one would receive a signal strongly while the new one would get only static on the same frequency. Sometimes the new one would receive a station other than WWV while the old unit picked up WWV quite well on that frequency. asked another member of the Houston Astronomical Society, who is better versed in the mysteries of electronics, Thomson, to try to adjust the new unit for better reception. Thomson analyzed the whole design, and the results are quite discouraging!

He found that the new unit often receives an image frequency on top of WWV. For example, there often is a radioteletype signal transmitting at 15.910 MHz on the new unit; it is precisely at the predicted image frequency for a 455 KHz intermediate frequency (IF). It was found that both the old and new units have 455 KHz IF's by feeding a signal generator into the antenna. But the bad news is that while both receivers have two stages of IF amplification, the older Timekube has a stage of RF amplification, while the new one does not! As a result, we think the new Timekube will have much poorer RF selectivity, and nothing can be done about it, short of a redesign.

Stockbauer has kept his new toy to use as a 4thlevel time backup on expeditions, and as an occasional weather source (the weather feature works fairly well).

We would appreciate feedback from other users of the new Timekube/Weatheradio, but would strongly advise having a backup for it, lest Murphy's law prevail. [Please route any replies via the editor, so that we may have the option of publishing them.]


GRAZING OCCULTATIONS

David W. Dunham

The grazing occultation predictions for the first half of 1984 either have been, or are being, sent to IOTA members. A correction that should be applied to the profiles for northernlimit waning-phase northern-latitude-libration grazes was described on p. 100 of the last issue. Contrary to what is stated there, no changes have been made to the ACLPPP for the 1984 predictions, so the described correction still should be applied when appropriate. It seems that many of the discrepancies noticed between the 78A and 80F prediction versions are due to the use of Perth 70 catalog data for the latter, even for bright northern-declination stars, which could not be observed well from Perth. The best solution to the current problems probably would be a new analysis of all the occultation data, using the XZ catalog as it stands for the southern stars, but removing the Perth 70 data from the northern stars. Unfortunately, Van Flandern's absence from USNO (see p. 113. guarantees that no such analysis will be done soon.

The southern-limit Cassini-region grazes also seem to be causing problems. Observations of a few grazes in these areas have shown large south shifts. Until better advice can be given from studying more observations, I recommend that observers apply a 0.5 southward correction to southern-limit ACLPPP profiles if more than half the profile points (including all within 1° of central graze) are 3's and 4's.

G. M. Appleby and L. V. Morrison recently published their "Analysis of lunar occultations — V. Grazing occultations 1964-1977" in $Mon.\ Not.\ R.\ Astr.\ Soc.\ 205$, p. 57. Observations of 800 grazes were studied to find corrections to Watts' charts, to the analytical lunar ephemeris



j=2, and to the zero point of right ascension in the FK4 catalog. Computer-drawn observation reduction profiles of 228 of the best-observed grazes were published on microfiche.

Robert Sandy, now in Independence, MO, has prepared some more reduction profiles for some recent grazes and some well-observed earlier events. These will be published as time and space permit in O.N.; some others will be distributed to expedition leaders.

Graham Blow photoelectrically recorded 3 disappearances and 2 reappearances during a near-graze of 8.5-mag. SAO 186844 at Mt. John Observatory in New Zealand last Sept. 15. Since the rotating buffer contained space for only 3.84 seconds of data, the star may have reappeared briefly again after the last-recorded disappearance. The predicted position angle was 16° from central graze.

Joan and I have rewritten and updated the papers describing the use of graze predictions, as part of a long-delayed larger general IOTA manual on occultations. Some other parts of the manual also have been prepared. These soon will be sent to Mark Allman for duplication and distribution to all new and current IOTA members. Allman also is updating some parts of Observing Grazing Occultations VIII, especially the useful section telling where to send requests and reports.


Again, time does not permit preparation of a list of observed grazes, but I am more determined than previously to include one in the next issue. After that, Don Stockbauer has agreed to collect reports of observations of grazes, make sure they are filled out properly, send blank forms when needed, and prepare the summaries for o.N. In the meantime, continue to send the reports to me, with, if possible, copies to ILOC.

LETTER

To the Editor:

Here are some notes relating to the article "Timing Occultations with a Digital Stop Watch," by Brian Loader (o. N. 3 (4), 85).

I have been using the described method for several years now, with only a slight difference; I have fixed the STOP key. In this way, I am sure of not losing the time base by accidentally stumbling or bumping, falling or grabbing the stop watch when I am late for a timing. In this way, I can use the time base for more than six months. I only un-fix the STOP key to reset the time base when it differs more than ten seconds from the correct time. For very



close events, I simply use a second stop watch (you can buy them for \$7 these days).


Some care must be taken in choosing the digital stop watch you will use. Some four years ago, I used the CASIO computer quartz CQ-1 to do my timings. When using the LAP key of that stop watch, you do influence the time base in some way. I found that when I used the LAP key some 100 times, the time base drifted about 0.2 second or more. Especially when you test the stop watch against the time signal to get an accurate difference, you could wind up with accuracy poorer than 0.1 second.

Henk Bulder
The Netherlands

LUNAR OCCULTATION OF ISEE-3

David W. Dunham

My orbital design work for the 3rd International Sun-Earth Explorer (ISEE-3) was mentioned briefly in o.N. 3 (3), 45. The complex orbit planned for the



TRAJECTORY OF ISEE-3 AROUND THE MOON AS SEEN FROM THE EARTH. 1983 DECEMBER 22

ASTRONOMY DAY

MAY 5, 1984

オカオカカオカカカカカカカカカカカカカカカカ

For more information, contact:

Gary Tomlinson, Astronomy Day Coordinator Astronomical League Chaffee Planetarium 54 Jefferson S.E. Grand Rapids, MI 49503 616-456-3985

The Astronomical Association of Northern California will be hosting Astronomy Day on 1984 April 7.

spacecraft was shown on page 136 of last February's sky and Telescope, and also in Astronomy 11 (9), 20 (1983 September). Co-workers at Computer Sciences Corp. and at Goddard Space Flight Center successfully executed the propulsive maneuvers needed to target the five lunar swingbys. The last swingby, on December 22nd, provided an unusual opportunity to combine my occultation work with the main part of my job. We planned to send ISEE-3 only 118 km above the mean lunar surface, much closer than the other swingbys, and the only one where the spacecraft would be occulted by the moon as seen from the We predicted the time of occultation as seen from Goddard and Jet Propulsion Laboratory tracking stations in Alaska, Guam, and Australia. By comparing the observed with the predicted times, we hoped to estimate the actual height above the moon at closest approach.

I modified an existing program, which computes a topocentric ephemeris of a spacecraft, to calculate the angular distance to the center of the moon. When this distance was within 10" of the subtended lunar radius, I printed position angles and other

quantities so that Watts' charts could be used to include limb corrections. The program was not completed and partially tested until Friday, Dec. 16. In the meantime, ISEE-3 was being tracked by radio at both Goddard and J.P.L. stations, and improved trajectories, determined from the tracking data, were given to us every two days. We needed the latest information in order to decide whether we needed to perform another propulsive maneuver on Dec. 20 in order to achieve the precise lunar swingby parameters needed to get close to Comet Giacobini-Zinner in 1985. In the meantime, an independent occultation prediction (without limb corrections, which changed the times by only 1 or 2 seconds) was being made with an older "coverage" program as a check, although it had not been designed for such a calculation. Comparison with the same trajectories was not made until Dec. 19, and they disagreed

by 20 seconds! But computer hardware problems prevented making any program modifications needed to trace down the discrepancy; the machine still had not been fixed on Dec. 23. We looked for another independent Calculation. At. J.P.L., the person who knew how to run their occultation program was on vacation. Marie Lukac, with some advice from Tom Van Flandern, finally overcame some computer problems at U. S.N.O. to compute a prediction only a few hours before the swingby. The U.S.N.O. times were closer to the "coverage" calculations, but disagreed with them by about ten seconds. However, the U. S.N.O. calculation neglected the spacecraft's motion, us-

ing fixed positions which I had supplied using the latest J.P.L. trajectory. The Goddard and J.P.L. trajectories obtained on Dec. 19 gave occultation times about seven seconds apart at a given station. At least, they indicated that the trajectory was accurate enough that the planned Dec. 20th correction maneuver could be cancelled.

As we waited for the swingby events in the control room at Goddard, we were confident that ISEE-3 would not crash into the moon, but wondered if it would survive a 29-minute passage through the moon's shadow. The spacecraft batteries had died a couple of years before, so sunlight was needed for the solar cells to provide all the spacecraft electrical power (in its originally designed "halo" orbit about the libration point 0.01 AU from the earth towards the sun, ISEE-3 was always in sunlight). Would hydrazine fuel which might still be in the lines freeze and expand, rupturing them and leaving ISEE-3 with no way to correct its orbit for the final targeting to the comet? Finally, over the intercom, we heard: "Alaska, LOS (loss of signal) at 17h 50m 46s G.M.T." I checked my sheet - only two seconds later than my predicted time using the Goddard trajectory, and one of those seconds would be explained by neglected light-time delay! LOS at Guam was 17h 52m 24s, four seconds late. The result for Orroral, Australia, was two seconds later than my time.

Emersion was at 18^h 38^m, but ISEE-3 was in eclipse then, and silent. Closest approach came six minutes later, over Mare Smythii. The eclipse ended at 18h 48m, after which we had to wait a few minutes for the spacecraft to power itself up. You could hear a pin drop as we waited and a command was sent to the spacecraft to report its condition. Then, "This is Guam — we have AOS (acquisition of signal)!" Robert Farquhar, who had conceived and led the effort to send ISEE-3 to Comet Giacobini-Zinner, blurted out, "Was there ever any doubt!" The readings from ISEE-3 showed that its temperature had dropped only 15° while in eclipse, and that all was well. A trajectory computed at J.P.L. using data both before and after the swingby showed that the closest approach was within 2 km of our target. The spacecraft, now leaving the earth-moon system, was rechristened the International Comet Explorer (ICE). We will be giving a series of papers about the trajectory design for this unique mission at the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics' Astrodynamics Conference in Seattle, WA, this August. A 5-minute animation of ISEE-3's trajectory was videotaped at J.P. L.; I recently made a copy of it.

THE GRAVITATIONAL CONSTANT IS CONSTANT

David W. Dunham

In an article, "Experimental Test of the Variability of G Using Viking Lander Ranging Data," in Physical Review Letters 51 (18), 1983 October 31st issue, Hellings, R. W.; Adams, P. J.; Anderson, J. D.; Keesey, M. S.; Lau, E. L.; and Standish, E. M., Jet Propulsion Laboratory; and Canuto, V. M. and Goldman, I., NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies, NY, presented the result:

$$\dot{G}/G = (0.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-11}$$
 per year.

Using an interplanetary baseline for precise transponder range measurements gave these authors a big

advantage over previous efforts to measure G. as they state: "This (our) sensitivity represents more than an order of magnitude improvement over previous limits set with radar ranging data or with lunar orbit data. The quoted error is much larger than the formal standard deviation and represents uncertainties stemming from our lack of knowledge of the masses of the asteroids." In fact, the ranging measurements were so accurate that it was possible to determine the masses of the three largest asteroids, (1) Ceres, (2) Pallas, and (4) Vesta, as well as the mean densities of the 200 largest asteroids, broken down into C- and S-type groups. The result is consistent with cosmological theories, such as Einstein's, which predict no change in the gravitational constant, and rules out others, such as Dirac's large numbers hypothesis, which predict 6/6 = the inverse of the Hubble time of galactic expansion, or about 5×10^{-1} per year.

The "lunar orbit data" are primarily photoelectric timings of total occultations. Although a major breakthrough like the above is always welcome, it does remove a major rationale for lunar occultation timings. Of course, observations of total and grazing lunar occultations are still valuable for dynamical and astrometric studies (including the determination of proper motions around the sky, which in turn are needed for deriving the Oort parameters of Galactic rotation), resolution of close binary stars (many of which can not be detected by other techniques), photoelectric measurement of stellar angular diameters, and improvement of knowledge of the lunar profile (which is needed for analysis of solar eclipse observations used to determine small variations of the solar radius). With the virtual cessation of lunar laser ranging observations, occultation data again may become more important for determining lunar orbital parameters and Ephemeris Time.

MINUTES OF IOTA MEETING 1983 NOVEMBER 11

The first meeting of IOTA as a non-profit organization incorporated in the State of Texas was called to order on the 11th of November, 1983, at 7:15 P.M. It was a joint meeting with the Johnson Space Center Astronomical Society, held at the Lunar and Planetary Institute, on NASA Rd 1, Houston, Texas.

After some preliminary discussions of JSC Astronomical Society, Paul Maley introduced Dr. D. W. Dunham.

Dr. Dunham discussed an occultation of a star by the asteroid Minerva, in Europe and North America. He then presented some preliminary findings on the Pallas occultation. Of 130 sites in Texas, only 68 were able to escape the cloud cover and time the event. With all the chords presented, including some photoelectric observations, the profile of Pallas appears circular. Formal analysis still is to be completed. He also showed a video recording of a grazing occultation by the moon, that he and his wife made on 1983 October 27.

Paul Maley then talked about, and showed some 35 mm film slides of, the Indonesian eclipse expedition. He also showed some data that were collected by other IOTA members. He also discussed upcoming grazing occultations and expeditions planned by him and some other members through 1988.

Dr. Dunham explained some reasons for grazing occul-

tations:

- for better astrometry (and refinements thereof);
- 2. for detection of double stars (only method suitable for some very close pairs);
 - 3. for improved knowledge of the lunar position;
- 4. to find the north-south diameter of the moon more accurately; and
- 5. for the most accurate resolution of the lunar profile.

At 11;01 P.M. Dr. Dunham opened the IOTA portion of the meeting. There were 12 IOTA members present.

I. David Dunham made a motion to elect all candidates as presented on the ballot which was mailed to all members, as the officers of the organization. The motion was seconded by P. Maley. All members present voted in favor of the motion.

Charles Herold distributed the unopened envelopes with ballots from members to members present, who in turn, opened and counted the ballots. They then were collected by C. Herold, who totalled the groups of ballots. 132 ballots gave their voting rights, by proxy, to C. Herold. One ballot gave its voting rights to Mark Allman. C. Herold cast all votes for which he was proxy for the above motion. Mark Allman was not present.

The tally was 144 ayes (for), and 2 nays (against). The motion carried, as 59% of the members were in favor (30% is required in the bylaws).

II. A motion was presented to change membership expirations from a monthly to a quarterly basis. The motion was seconded by C. Herold.

There were 142 ayes (for), and 2 mays (against). The motion carried.

Dave Dunham mentioned that because of budget cuts at the U.S. Naval Observatory, it may become difficult to obtain grazing occultation predictions in the future (alternative means of obtaining predictions are being studied, in case such a catastrophe occurs).

George Ellis, of the Astronomical League (which has 8500 members), offered its services to IOTA, to help organize occultation observation events. He said that they have a communication network that is in operation all year long. This network could alert the most people who would be interested in becoming part of a grazing occultation expedition in the least amount of time.

The meeting was adjourned at 12:01 A.M., 1983 November 12.

Respectfully submitted

Charles H. Herold Executive Secretary

MORE TELEPHONE TIME DEVELOPMENTS

David W. Dunham

A caution about a possible 0.25-second delay caused by the routing of many North American long-distance telephone calls through geosynchronous communications satellites was published on p. 106 of the last issue. Derald Nye informed me that WWV time signals are available within the U.S.A. on a toll-free num-

ber, 800,957-9999. Use of this number would have the same drawback as use of the other accurate telephone time numbers mentioned in the last issue. But the Naval Observatory has introduced a new number, 900.410-8463, which uses only land lines, not satellites. Hence, the time available via this number to all residents of the U.S.A. would be accurate to, at worst, a couple of hundredths of a second, less than visual timing uncertainties, and is consequently preferred over the other long-distance numbers for occultation work. The 900 numbers are not free, but cost 50 cents for each call, regardless of location within the U.S.A. U.S.N.O.'s earlier master clock time number, 653-1800, is still in operation, and can be used to obtain accurate time within the Washington, DC, free-calling area; presumably, the same is true for WWV's regular number in the Ft. Collins, CO. area. But others for whom these calls are longdistance should use the 900 number to avoid the possible satellite delay.

REVISED SOLAR RADIUS RESULTS

Marion Schmitz, Joan B. Dunham, and David W. Dunham

We recently discovered and corrected an error in our computer program which computes solar radius and lunar solar position corrections from reduced solar eclipse observations. Unfortunately, all of our previously published solar radius determinations must be revised, including those used in the article, "Solar Radius Change Between 1925 and 1979," published in Nature last August; see the end of IOTA News on p. 98 of the last issue of o.N. The new solar radius corrections for some eclipses are as follows:

<u>on</u>
0"2
0.08
0.07
0.03

Hence, the change between 1925 and 1979 is - 0.19 or two times the standard error, not nearly as significant as the previous 4- σ result. The large decrease from 1715 to 1979 remains. Many other 19th- and 20th-century solar eclipse observations are in an advanced state of analysis.

PLANETARY OCCULTATIONS DURING 1984

David W. Dunham

Predictions of occultations of stars by major and minor planets during 1984 are given in two tables below. Reports of observations of these events should be sent to me at P. O. Box 7488, Silver Spring, Maryland 20907, U.S.A. (telephone 301, 585-0989), with (if possible; indicate on the form to whom copies are sent) a copy to Gordon Taylor, H. M. Nautical Almanac Office, Royal Greenwich Observatory, Herstmonceux Castle, Hailsham, Sussex BN27 1RP, England. Preferably, the report forms of the International Lunar Occultation Centre (ILOC), or the equivalent IOTA/ILOC graze report forms, should be used for reporting timed occultations or appulses (we want to know if you observed, and saw nothing, but do not need a report if weather prevented effective observation). The only difference from report-(Text continues on page 121)

Table	1,	Pa	rt /
n.		et78	ans7

Table	1, Par	rt A
Ephem. Source	Herget78	Yeomans7 APAENAXX Yeomans7 EMP 1983 Herget77 EMP 1983 Herget78 Herget78 EMP 1983 Herget77 Herget77 Herget77 Herget77 Herget77 EMP 1983 Herget77 EMP 1983 EMP 1983 Herget77 EMP 1983
N d	none	# 75°E # 10°E # 11° # 11° # 11° # 11° # 11° # 11° # 10°E # 1
0 0 Sn]	=	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
≅ □	140°	96 96 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 65 67 67 67 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68
SUN	173°.	1000 151 151 151 151 151 151 151 151 151
OCCULTATION c. Am Dur df P Possible Area	29°47'1.2 15 ^{\$} 20 15 Mauritius, South Africa?n	10 18 12.9 3 16 42 Hawaii?s; Japan?s; southern USSR 19 18 0.1 62 28 4 southern Africa, northeast Brazil 10 23 10.6 28 1 4 southern Canada, Alaska 20 20 13.34 57 22 16 Initi, Samoa, Queensland 20 30 0.9 27 46 22 north Pacific, western Canada 34 5.3.1 21 91 7 Brazil?s; GA-Ma; Alaska?n 29 01 2.4 18 24 15 Hawaii; Jahwa?n; Indochina 29 01 2.4 18 24 15 Hawaii; Jahwa?n; Indochina 29 01 2.4 18 24 15 Hawaii; Jahwa?n; Indochina 29 01 2.4 18 24 15 Hawaii; Jahwa?n; Indochina 20 01 2.4 18 24 15 Hawaii; Jahwa?n; Indochina 22 23 1.3 14 20 17 Indonesia, Sri Lanka, cen. Africa 12 45 23 18 Mauritius, e. cen. & n.w. Africa 13 17 18 12 19 17 Anadii, southern USSR, Mideast 22 3 1.3 23 35 18 Mauritius, e. cen. & n.w. Africa 10 10 12 13 23 35 18 Mauritius, e. cen. & n.w. Africa 12 23 1.3 23 35 22 Alaska, Canada?s 20 09 0.4 17 18 11 20 17 Anaska, Canada?s 20 09 0.4 17 18 11 w. Indonesia, India, n. Africa 15 27 27 27 n.w. Australia, northern Africa 20 09 0.4 17 18 11 w. Indonesia, India, n. Africa 30 11 20 17 Japan?s; eastern Siberia 20 11 20 12 10 12 16 Mexico 10 10 18 10 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18
R A. (1950) <u>be</u>	h389	24.9 27.9 27.9 27.9 27.9 28.3 28.3 28.3 28.3 38.3
اهر مذا	9	F8 K5 R6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6
π _V S	7.4	0.000
SAO NO	-	94222 1 97945 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
- A	2.37	2.23.23.35.23.35.23.35.25.35.35.35.35.35.35.35.35.35.35.35.35.35
' ⊲l	.5	000000044484000012000444718188.15300089
z	ey 12	22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22
P L A NAME	33 ^m Lorel	P/Halley 2 P/Halley 2 Pales Pales Pales Pales Percha Prokee PyHalley 2 PyHalley 3 PyHalley 3 PyHalley 3 PyHalley 3 PyHalley 3 Pykalley 3 Pykale 3 Pykale 3 Pykale 3 Pykale 4 Pykale 5 Pykale 6 Pykale 7 Pykale 6 Pykale 7
UNI VERSAL TIME	20 ^h 29 ^m -:	15 01-05 7 42-45 17 53-68 10 57-68 10 57-69 11 55-69 12 56-69 13 19-20 17 41-45 16 01-13 18 09-31 18 09-31 18 19-44 20 27-46 20 37-46 20 37-46 10 01-13 11 0-27 16 33-06 16 35-89 17 08-14 15-55 17 10-27 18 19-34 19 05-06 10 05-06 10 05-06 11 18-27 11 18-27 11 18-27 12 10-15-18 13 18-27 14 15-18 15 18-24 16 25-39 20 27-36 17 18-27 18 42-45 19 18-27 19 18-27 10 18-27 11 18-27 11 18-27 11 18-27 11 18-27 11 18-27 11 18-27
U.T. U	1983 Jec 30	1984
- i i i	ĭ ĕ	Marreb Preb Marreb Marre

ing lunar events is that the name of the occulting body should be written prominently at the top of the form, and the report should not be sent to the ILOC in Japan. Copies of the report forms can be obtained either from the ILOC, from IOTA (address given in the O.N. masthead), or from me. Your request will be acted upon more quickly if you send either IOTA or me a S.A.S.E.

The stellar and ephemeris data for the events listed in the tables below are discussed following the sources for the occultations. The two tables below (Text continues on page 123)

Table	2, Par	t A																			()	ext	CUI	1111	nue	S UI	ı hay	e 12	3)
R E N T Dec.	29°45'	10 17 19 22 10 22 20 29																											
A P P A R.A.	6 ^h 41 <u>m</u> 1	6 26.8 4 56.2 6 23.8 0 3.8	35.	46.	22. 40.	36.	96.	15.		37.	9 6	77.	22.	05.	ر در 5	7 37.	32.	20.	35.	4 54.	47.	05.	05. 17.	28.	05. 26.	15.	28.8	3 55. 8 47	19.
TA Time		-0 <mark>"</mark> 7	-0.2	-1.4			2.2		0.9						c	· -	-0.7					-2.7	•	,	0.1		-0.2		
SON DAT		0"18	0.19	0.42			-0.54		-0.84						_0 57		-0.83					-0.87	∞		-0.18		-0.01		
OMPARI 3 No		752 410	918	203	_		536	2	1471			1228					1540		000	2		187	∞		1068				
CON AGK3		N10° N19	N17	N 3 3	12		2 C					N 35					9 2		ų	9		N24	N24		N20				
S	U	4 X U U	, 4 .	SAS	حر ن	ں ں	AS	20	C A	ں	ں ں	4	ں ں	ں ر	ے د	دک	AS V	ں د	ی د	χU	SC	AG.	ည လူ	ٔی	ZY	ں ں	7 FP	ا ی ر	ر د
¥ d+	Ü	1.2	2.3	1.6	7.		0.3	•	0.5			9.0			5	?	0.5			0.0	0.9	0.4			1.7		5.9		
AMETE Time		34	51	30	=		Ξ		20			17			36	07	18			<u>-</u>	15	5			40		156		
R DI		305	910	473	136		96		156			183			05.4	Ò	120		7.6.1	13/	318	143			554		1994		
STELLA m"		0.25	0.45	0.29	0.		0.05		0.10			0.11			22	?	0.11			0.0	0.13	0.07			0.26		0.95		
اء س		∞ ×	<u>0</u>	24.82	92		55 A	2	39			320			9		83		9	Σ Σ	96	J2 A	2		69		85 U)	
A No.		0°114 9 81	7 188	4 204 9 120	_		2 86	0	4 243			5 232			[3610	4	5 262		-	26-0	3 39	4 30			196		51148		
E		+10 2 +19	5 +1;	2 +07	+2		99	2	70 + 8			+			Š	7 -	4 +06		-	+	9 -0	0 +24	+5		34 +5		36 -2	J	
SAO No		9422	9794	7831	5		112434	t	11873.						7	24081	119464				14136	7514	514		8063		18433)	
PA	263°	275 282 276	279	295 254 254	254 274	58	333	279	294 62	299	62 276	297	305	273	288 85	290	307	308 308	293	767 767	69	65	313	301	282	33	102	334	64
MOTI /Day	.211).156).177).154	212	230	174	.153	21.	.141	1.125	. 247	759	.163	.181	. 240	. 185	170	141	215	148		.217	356	356	1.186	202			159	380
, jee	0	oooo	000	000	000	000	000		00	0	00	, 0												_					_
- <u>1</u>	83 C	051 1323 U 046	202		35 C				76 F 39	26 C		36															4 4 4 5 4 5 4 7 6 6		
N E T	148	-4			- , ,			- ,		_				,	`	~				~		• •					• , ,		•
آ .	0.13	0.02	92.0	9.0	5.0	0.10	7	0.02	0.09	0.12	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.18	0.09	- 60	0.15	0.12	0.09	0.06	0.09	0.13	0.13	0.16	0.04	2.0	40.00	0.13	0.13
R P L km-diam	228 (0 001 0 001 0 001	175	195	228 (214 (201	204	500	133 +	195	201	145	201	256	135	335 _	89	95	32	8 5 5 5 5 5	203	270	270	168	89	367	187 781	235	270
		e e	•, •			α.		>			_	g				n D	,		æ			'n	ē		Ĕ		no m		ne n
I N 0 Name	eley		E GS	Bertha Prokne Loralay	eleg ula	Hermion	Daphne	alle alle	tia	kne	Bertha Bamberga	DOW.	Bertha	Bamberga	tori	Interamn Victoria	Metis	kne kne	Victori	rea ta	Siegena	rosy	'A 4	.1s	Andromac Motic	kne.	Vesta Germani Germani	Elektr	irosy
H Z Σ	Lor		200				Dap	Z Z							> +	⊣ >		5 5	. S	Adored Vesta		-	Euphros Prokne				Germar Germar		Euphros
8	165	4	449	194							154								12		38	3 .	31	-	1	194	241		31
U.T. DATE	983 ic 30	<u> </u>			288																						, , ,		
⊃.4	19 Dec	Jan Jan Jan	Jan	Jan	Jan	Jan	Jan	Jan	Lan.	Jan	Jan	February	Fet f	i ja	F .	를 년 1	F F	F G	<u>a</u> .		i i	<u> </u>	Feb Feb	i i	Fet	ğ.	π Mar Tan γ	N N	₹ a

Table 1, Part B

Table	1, Part B	
Ephem. Source		Branham EMP 1980 EMP 1981 EMP 1981 Herget78 EMP 1983 Sitarski Herget78 Herget78 Herget78
N 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이		- e 13° W - s 40° N - none - none - none - none + none + none + w 120° E + w 35° E
0 (5.5n]	48788888888888888888888888888888888888	
∑ <u>[</u>	452 460 472 472 473 473 474 474 474 474 474 474	
SUN	132.0 171.21.25.0 171.25.20.1 172.25.20.1 173.25.25.25.25.25.25.25.25.25.25.25.25.25.	1150 103 101 64 64 81 88 86 149
A R 0 C C U L T A T I O N R.A.(1950)Dec. Am Dur df P Possible Area	9h1m3 15°41'0.6 41°55 15 New Zealand?s; Australia 7 27.6 11 21 0.7 40 86 24 South Africa?n; Brazil?s 11 33.0 8 03 0.8 12 23 15 Morocco, Labrador, northern Canada 5 55.2 12 00 9.2 9 48 109 Peru; Mexico?n 12 11.8 8 29 0.5 15 21 12 western Europe?s 4 00.3 8 39 0.2 8 9 12 northeastern Canada 8 08.6 10 42 1.0 22 36 19 Brazil?e 16 32.5 -16 10 4.1 22 36 19 Brazil?e 16 32.5 -16 10 4.1 22 36 19 Brazil?e 16 32.5 -16 10 4.1 22 36 19 Brazil?e 16 32.5 -16 10 4.1 30.3 75 8 13 western and central Australia 20 16.4 -19 44 1.8 15 11 10 southern Mexico, Cuba 12 10 13 0.3 75 8 13 morthern Mexico, Cuba 12 10 13 0.3 75 8 13 southern Asia, eastern Europe 8 38.9 19 19 16 59 73 14 Australia 3 northern Mexico, Chiaz? 11 54.2 9 53 0.1 17 24 13 southern Asia, eastern Europe 8 12 40 0.3 10 17 24 13 southern Asia, eastern Europe 8 12 3.2 5 3.2 6 10 21 22 Argentina, Chile, Hawaii 12 13 3 -5 53 2.6 10 21 22 Patagonia; Hawaii?n 6 34.2 24 47 0.7 10 18 22 Expectern North America? 12 13 3 -5 53 2.6 10 21 22 Patagonia; Hawaii?n 6 34.2 24 47 0.7 10 18 22 Anortheastern South America 6 55 2.8 10 24 12 24 17 18 24 24 27 27 28 Japan; Alaska?n 6 14 2 24 2 2 2 Japan; Alaska?n 6 15 2 2 19 13 13 18 2 2 2 Japan; Alaska?n 7 24 24 10 11 2 23 0.3 6 15 22 Brazil?s 6 56.8 10 24 1.2 6 11 24 Brazil?s 7 6 50.1 7 10 2 32 32 5 South Africa, Brazil, Peru 16 50 2.5 11 23 19 NewZealand, Queensland?s; NewGuinea 15 48 10 1 22 30.3 6 15 32 eastern U. S. A. 10 1 23 0.3 6 15 32 eastern U. S. A. 10 1 23 0.3 6 15 32 eastern U. S. A. 24 2 2 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3	11 38.8 8 52 0.6 28 45 16 s.w. U. S. A., Mexico, n. Chile 13 39.3 -15 40 2.0 13 28 20 Okinawa, n.e.China, cen. Siberia 10 59.5 5 34 1.6 25 33 15 southeastern Siberia 11 01.0 5 27 1.5 22 30 15 Fiji; Queensland, New Zealand?s 8 48.0 14 02 2.0 9 14 22 Mexico?n 9 08.8 26 55 0.4 7 13 24 Caucasus Mountains to N.W. India 17 59.3 -26 01 4.8 10 25 29 cen. Chile; N. Z., s. Australia?n 22 57.4 8 52 0.3 32 21 9 northern Chile, Bolivia 9 14.5 7 27 4.9 6 14 33 southern Australia?n 23 11.1 -9 04 3.6 8 15 22 South Africa?n 15 08.3 -46 55 1.6 10 20 15 Indonesia, Singapore 17 20.5 -44 05 4.6 11 23 24 Australia, southern Africa
망	66 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 6	0 F8
` _		
S SAO No	118750 118750 158913 158913 162170 138680 138680 138680 138680 138680 138680 139402 159094 159094	158099 186140 117560 146574 225520 225520
T ∆,AU	747-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7	F - 000000000000
щ _	.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0	3.1.5
P L A N	Thisbe Euterpe P/Halley 2 Metis Juno Prokne Kassandra Saturn Juno Hygiea Parthenope Parthenope Parthenope Meliboea Meliboea Meliboea Prokne Ursula Westa Vesta Daphne Ursula Nemesis Siegena Victoria Hektor Loreley Tamara Loreley Tamara Loreley Loreley Tamara Loreley Loreley Loreley Loreley Loreley Loreley Athamartis Tamara Mars Loreley Tamara Mars Hektor Loreley Tamara Mars Hektor Tamara Hektor Tamara Hektor Tamara Hektor Tamara Tamara Tamara Tamara	Athamantis Fortuna Fortuna Fortuna Thisbe Diotima Klymene Pallas Io Aglaja Tamara
IIVERSAL TIME	22-23 22-26 33-68 33-68 53-68 53-68 50-59 11-29 11-29 11-29 11-29 11-29 11-29 11-29 11-29 11-29 11-22	16-68 31-33 16-45 16-45 18-45 18-16 18-16 18-16 18-16 18-16
UNIVE TI	42.23.42.44.11.29.10.10.22.23.12.12.23.12.23.12.23.12.23.12.23.12.23.12.23.12.23.13.13.13.13.13.13.13.13.13.13.13.13.13	455
et 101		22 22 33 30 22 23 30 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25
1984 DATI	MAarry Ma	May May May May May Jun Jun

are presented in the same format as those for last year's events. Due to the large number of events, the tables are given on alternating pages, so that all the data for a given event (and for others which occur either just before and/or just after it) are available on facing pages. Explanations of the data

given in the tables, and of the finder charts, and regional and world maps appearing in O.N., as well as information about local circumstances (appulse predictions) sent to IOTA members were given in the article about 1983 events in o.N. 3 (1), 9. Joseph E. Carroll, 4261 Queen's Way, Minnetonka, MN 55345, (Text continues on page 125)

Table	2, Part B						(TEXT CONTO	ides on page 1207
⊢ ان ≥								54 03 03 03 03
R De	15.	9-1-	-16 -2 -25 -25 -25	242 44				26 26 -26 -26 7 -47 -44
A .	3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6	0 4 5 5 5 6 6 4 8 6 6	25.0 25.0 25.0 25.0 25.7 25.7 25.7	1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05	53.1.9 7.70 7.70 7.70 1.00	32.1 32.1 32.1 33.0 33.0 51.1 51.1 61.1 61.1 61.1 61.1 61.1 61.1	27.2 43.3 39.1 39.1 48.6 6.1 6.1 6.1 7.1 7.1 7.1 7.1 7.1 7.1 7.1 7.1 7.1 7	25.0 10.8 01.4 01.4 01.4 16.3 12.9 10.7
A P		> 0 4 4 6			စေပာပာလအ			- 223 25 25 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26
A 1me	e0	6 0				1.4		-1.3 9.2
DAT ft I	4	00				99 -		- 10
I SON Shi	0	0				0		7 7
COMPARIS	1465		1701		828	808		1315
CO AGK3	88		N S		N 3	ς ₂		7 N
S	uu ¥uuu		××∢∪∪∪∟	××∪∪@	×<00000		ONXOUXO	
ER df	9.0		0.0	1.6	0.5	3.0 1.9 0.1	0.1 0.8 0.5	0.8 1.0 1.8
AMETE	35	41	999	33	13	68 43 34	2 18 14	19 14 26 23
AR DI	136	000	198 135 76	481	170	849 461 27 199	27 183 127	246 357 538 295
EL	. 15	.03	60. 80. 90.	.28	.08	.55 .04 .51	.04	.13
ST O	0	00	000	A 8 8	, O	A 0	00 0	0 00 0
No.	2478	34 02	5887 5459 2571	46 46 91	1887	2834 3744 7369 4273	7243 3783 3723	2698 2102 6147 7250 1668
A MO	+08°2	3.5	20 17 9	05	- E	16 16 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	113	-2613 +07 -09 -46
⊢ ≥	50	89	70	- 080 -	2	.8162 -	6130 - 8714 - 8099 -	40 60 20 09
SAO	1187	יט עט	1634 1621	1386	5	1394 1581 2263 1590	2261 1587 1587	1861 1175 1465 2255 2279
ON PA	285° 344 293 307 72	343 72 290 74	75 80 295 283 152 83	309 309 103	69 87 87 87 87	282 282 310 94 310 226 278 57	102 231 282 71 71 145 316	104 114 114 72 72 95 67 247
MOTION Day PA	076 051 253 050 254 507	113 105 047 516	322 329 240 220 056 156	206 206 122 217 365	303 303 309 315	194 172 172 226 226 426 364 184	279 421 235 209 104 129	0.255 0.257 0.277 0.161 0.249 0.288 0.326 0.189
انه	000000	5000	666666					
Typ	000 000	၁၀ က	OZNNONC	00000	0005000			
E T RS0I	1465 375 355 988 679 1144	488 488 1153	3414 281 649 686 1629 572	814 814 814 814 1186	1136 1109 1109 1106 1104	1386 498 1207 569 406 212 ****	212 212 386 5374 702 405	1456 1456 1219 721 731 739 627 627 627 685
A .	13 08 02 16		20 05 05 116 116	366665	7-08 08 08 08 08 08	09 08 12 13 13 46	21 113 112 113 113	2 0.09 3 0.02 9 0.06 9 0.06 9 0.09 0 0.03
P∟ iam.	7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	√ = 4 √ 0 0 % 0	ა და და და და ი ი ი ი ი ი ი ი ი ი ი ი ი ი ი ი ი ი ი	ကြောက်တွင် ကြောက်တွင်	0	4 0.0 6 0.0 7 0 0.0 7 1 0.0 7 1 7.0	8 8 4 8 8 4 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	222 232 209 209 0.134 0.149 156 0.00 139 0.00
R P km-di		a 13 1564 26	25 133	- 55 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	2025	- 19	22 11 53 16 16 16 22	
0	sbe toria cerpe falley jis	ne andr rn l	Hygiea Penelope Parthenope Metis Bamberga Victoria	boea boea ne ley	and and a	ula nesis igena toria namant nara	ra ra tia as as mant una	una be ima ene as as ja ra
M I N (Name	Thisbe Victor Euterpe P/Halle Metis Juno	<pre>Prokne Kassand Saturn Juno</pre>	Hygi Pene Part Meti Weti Vict	Meli Meli Prok Lore	Prok Prok Daph Vest Daph	Ursula Nemesis Siegena Victorio Athamant Tamara Mars	Loreley Tamara Lutetia Pallas Metis Athaman	Fort This Diot Klym Klym Pall Io Agla Tama
	27.5	194 1 114 1 3 1		137 137 194 165	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	375 128 386 12 230 326		423 104 104 47 326 602
 1:11		23 25 26 26	26 27 33 39 99	00000	24 24 27	82281151	13 119 119 125 127	2288 330 230 230 230 230 230 230
1984 DATI	Mar Mar Mar	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	Mar Mar Apr Apr	A A PPr A P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P	A A Pr	А Ма Ма Ма Ма Ууууу	M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M	May y May y dun Jun

Table I, Part (Ta	h1	P	1.	Рa	rt	С
-----------------	----	----	---	----	----	----	---

Table	e 1, Part C	
Ephem. Source	EMP 1975 Herget77 EMP 1983 Herget77 Herget77 EMP 1983 EMP 1983 EMP 1981 Branham EMP 1983 Branham Herget78 Herget78 Herget78 Herget78 Herget78 Branham EMP 1983 Branham Herget78 Branham Sitarski EMP 1982 Branham Sitarski EMP 1982 Branham Branham Branham Herget78 EMP 1982 Branham Herget78 EMP 1982 Branham Branham Branham Branham Branham Branham Herget77 EMP 1982 EMP 1982 FMP 1982 FMP 1983 Herget78 EMP 1983 Herget77 EMP 1983 Herget77 EMP 1983 Herget77 EMP 1983 Herget77	
x 레	Mone all all wone all all all wone all all all all all all all all all al	
0 0 %Sn1	221+ 223+ 324+ 326- 336- 344+ 346- 356- 36- 37- 37- 37- 37- 37- 37- 37- 37- 37- 37	
∑ ⊞	102 103 104 105 106 107 108 108 108 108 108 108 108 108	
SUN	1	
0 C C U L T A T I O N 950) <u>Dec. ∆m Dur df P Possible Area</u>	7-494.7 9 ⁵ 18 35 Australia -36 04 1.0 24 35 12 Mauritius. ³ -4 7 82 0 10 2 11 5 s.w.U.S.A., n.w.Mexico, Hawaii?s -4 7 82 0 10 2 11 9 eastern Australia; New Zealand?s -2 8 27 3.7 26 24 Quetralia, Mauritius -2 8 27 3.7 26 42 Sactern Australia; New Zealand?s -2 9 12 .6. 6 8 15 Australia -1 1 59 1.4 7 11 24 Chile. Argantia; New Zealand?s -1 1 80 1.6 8 15 Australia -1 1 31 5.6 10 15 18 Sri Lanka, Indonesia, Papua -1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
.A. (1	40 6 0 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	
A R	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	
	00.00 0	
SAO No	211413 80995 189495 189495 1118864 1118864 1111439 209985 209985 211065 186001 211065 3 3 3 185260 7	
T ∆,AU	0.50.0.25.0.25.0.25.0.25.0.25.0.25.0.25	
N ≥	4.0.1.5.1.5.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0	
P L A	Jun 2 19 22 ^m -24 ^m Hektor Jun 4 5 48-57 Tamara Jun 4 18 29-30 Eugenia Jun 8 18 23-24 Egeria Jun 8 18 23-24 Egeria Jun 23 23 23-24 Egeria Jun 23 20 14-15 Iris Jun 24 25-32 Adeona Jun 24 25-32 Adeona Jun 27 13 21 17 15 Hektor Jun 27 13 21 13-15 Hektor Jun 27 13 21 17 150 Jaa 20 11 13 19 41-45 Cybele Jul 13 19 41-45 Cybele Jun 13 19 21 22-53 Jun 27 Minchester Jun 13 19 20 19 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	

computes the appulse predictions. Special requests for the appulse predictions should be sent to his address, which was given incorrectly in the o.n. article about 1983 events, and also in the article about 1984 planetary occultations in this month's issue of Sky and Telescope. Specific information

about some of the events is given at the end of this article.

sources of Planetary Occultations. The total of 230 events given in the list is the largest number ever published for a given year (actually, one event not (Text continues on page 127)

Table 2. Part C

Table	Part C	F-3,
R E N T	-36 68 11 - 27 4 4 8 8 2 5 1 1 4 8 8 2 5 1 1 4 8 8 2 5 1 1 4 8 8 2 5 1 1 4 8 8 2 5 1 1 4 8 8 2 5 1 1 1 2 8 8 2 5 1 1 1 2 8 8 2 5 1 1 1 1 2 8 8 2 5 1 1 1 1 1 2 8 8 2 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	23
A P P A R.A.	201 - 000 - 00 - 00 - 00 - 00 - 00 - 00	34.5 43.3 45.9 01.6
TA /	0.0 0.2 0.1 2.5	_
SON DAT	0.95	
ARI 2	. 5 496 - 112 - 112 - 113	640
COMP, AGK3 NO	N N S 2 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	ις Z
S	\mathcal{C}_{N} or the contraction of the substitution of the subst	A NOOO
ER	0.00	0.1
AMET Time	7 4 4 4 6 1 1 3 3 6 1 1 3 6 1	112
AR DI	238 238 238 238 211 217 227 2547 150 64 683	37
STELL	0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03	0.03
% ™	3626 1804 6800 6800 7110 7110 7147 7147 7147 7147 7147 71	978 6476
¥ ₩	-321 -251 -251 -251	+ 4
S T SAO No	211413 225478 80995 189495 1118864 1118864 1111439 209985 209985 211065 186001 211730 225490	188498
PA	2481 2777 283 283 283 283 283 283 283 283 283 383 3	95 325 111 100
%/Day	0.0533 0.0559 0.0559 0.0559 0.0559 0.0559 0.0599 0.0599 0.0599	.062 .054 .386 .383
уре		
E T RSOI T	260 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	763 C 619 C 811 662 S 662 S
Z =	1.00	
P L Liam.	ea 153 0.1 245 0.1 137 0.0 137 0.0 137 0.0 137 0.0 137 0.0 137 0.0 137 0.0 137 0.0 137 0.0 138 0.1 186 0.1 186 0.1 1025 0.5 1025 0.5	08 0 7 0 7 0 6 0 6 0
R P km-dia	12	er 2 13 10 18 18
M I N O	to see to the see the see to the see the se	nche do Hall be be
S	3326 3326	NO 10 10
	22222332022223332222222222222222222222	
1984 DATE	OUDUDUDUDUDUDUDUDUDUDUDUDUDUDUDUDUDUDUD	Sep Sep Sep Sep Sep

Table	1, Part D
Ephem. Source	Branham Branham Herget78 Branham EMP 1982 EMP 1983 EMP 1983 Herget77 EMP 1983 Herget77 EMP 1983 Herget77 EMP 1983 Herget77
N d	# M
0 0 Sn1	227-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7
≖ [[]	444 45 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46 46
SUN	88.871 147.871 19.09 19.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.
0 C C U L T A T I O N 950) <u>Dec. ∆m Dur df P</u> Possible Area	9 8°01'0.2 8 ⁵ 12 15 northwest Siberia 7 58 0.3 8 12 15 Southwest Canada 7 7 10 2.5 16 25 14 Iceland, Supthern Canada 2 6 5 3 0.1 14 14 9 eastern Siberia 1 0.4 7 0.2 43 25 9 e. Siberia, e. China, s.e. Asia 4 0.6 0.2 10 12 12 central Africa 1 3 58 0.9 10 13 12 eastern Siberia, a. Bouth America 1 3 58 0.9 10 13 12 eastern China, Japan 2 4 9 16 11 26 25 Sri Lanka, Japan 2 5 6 0.5 10 13 12 eastern China, Japan 2 6 3 6 6 16 9 central America, n. South America 1 15 49 16 11 26 25 Sri Lanka, South America 2 6 30 0.1 3 12 eastern China, Japan 2 6 30 0.1 3 18 13 danada 2 6 30 0.1 3 18 13 danada 2 6 30 0.1 3 18 13 danada 2 7 17 42 24 western NorthAmerica (poor ephem) 2 8 9 10 13 12 eastern NorthAmerica (poor ephem) 2 8 9 10 12 3 22 Hawaiize, eastern Indonesia 2 9 10 2 13 22 Hawaiize, eastern Indonesia 3 10 2 2 12 14 Hawaiize, eastern Indonesia 2 10 2 12 12 14 Hawaiize, southwest Australia 3 2 10 12 22 14 Hawaiize, southwest Australia 3 2 10 12 22 11 Hawaiize, southwest Australia 3 2 10 12 2 23 11 Hawaiize, southwest Australia 3 2 10 12 2 23 11 Hawaiize, southwest Australia 3 2 10 2 23 11 Hawaiize, southwest U. S. A. R. 4 7 12 6 3 13 13 14 3 15 14 3 1
.A.(1	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6
SP R	K2 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C
	100789705E1F1
S SAO No	146599 177 75 75 77 164723 164723 164723 168970 163019 16301
T ∆,AU	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1
E E	99.98 99
Z	Sy a and a name a control of the con
P L NAME	
	2001 2005
VERSAL TIME	20008200080000000000000000000000000000
UNIVER	4559/4-15 8559/4-15 8
1984 DATE	SNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNN

previously published occurs at the end of 1983). Of the total, 130 (54%) are occultations of Astrographic Catalog (A.C., source catalog "C") stars which I found during computer comparisons with ephemerides at the U. S. Naval Observatory (USNO); these are documented in a separate section following this sec-

tion. The occultations by all major planets except Neptune were found by Gordon Taylor at the Royal Greenwich Observatory and published in his Bulletin 30 of the International Astronomical Union's Commission 20 Working Group on Predictions of Occultations by Satellites and Minor Planets. The occultation by (Text continues overleaf)

Table 2. Part D

Table	2, Part D																												
R E N T Dec.	8°01 - 7 58 7 57 57 58 - 6 58	0,0	, (11)	12	-15	9 4	9 - 3	26	9 (28	7 ~	2	7 5	- 6	<> €	7 62	36	27	_ =	4	7 —	∞ ~	- 23	2 ر	24	9	, ω <u>ς</u>	-25	117
A P P A R.A.	6 03.6 6 03.6 6 04.0 23 15.2	1 52.9 6 06 4	6 09.9 6 10.4	5 04.4	21 53.0	21 32.9	19 35.0 5 28.2	5 38.4	6 34.3	1 46.8	0 30.0 0.00.0	6 37.5	6 38.3 3 08.9	19 48.9	6 40.3	6 42.9	5 18.7	0 16.0	23	9-	6 51.7	2 23.4	8 21.9	1 55.0	0 09.1	5 04.3	3 10.5	2 2	6 26.8 4 50.8
TA Time				E	-00	1.7				4							12.8	-2.8	1.0							0.1		-0.0	
son DA Shift				=	-0.09	. 5				0.72							1.22	0.55	1.24] •						0.49		0.05	
COMPARIS 1GK3 NO		197		512	92					199			768)			536	26	3214						Ξ	528			426
AGK3		s 0°		N12	972					N28			2 Z	2			N36	N27	Z	-					N24	9			VI 7
S	OOOX	عحر	ں ں ر	< >	Ϋ́	PG	J×۷	ں ر	ں ر	AG .	ں ں	ဂပ	<< <	c ×	ပ	ں ر	AS	AS	C A S	<u>ن</u> ن	ں د	ں ر	ں ر	۰۰۰) I C		γυq
ER df	1.6	0.3			3.1 2.1						_	•	0.2	4.4			2.5	0.3	.5							1.3	0.2	8.9	0.3
DIAMETE ! <u>Tîme</u>	39	14			51 123		32			24	25	J	m	64			136	7	103)					^	35	4	96	9
AR DI	386	53		-	721		477			281	316	5	45	1219			846	86	777						84	352	49	3980	72
STELLA m"	0.35	0.08		•	0.33	4	0.36			0.23	000	•	0.04	0.86			0.4]	90.0	0 82						0	0.27	0.04	4.82	0.08
o .	5973	257		720	807 967	584	515			282	10.5	2	330	919			085	26	1931	`					9	803	471	3149	962
DM No	-07°5	0]			+26 -16 5	ഹ	.19 5			-27	77	>	+02 1	- <u>1</u> 5			136	+26	410.4	>					+23	+05	+08	-2513	+17
SAO No	146599 -	'		,	//119 + 164723 -	5486	162800 -			74936 +	070001	'n	* 1	163019 -	- -		57899 +	73865 +		_						112399 4	•	186841 -	т
⋖	100° 100 100 257	212	9 9 9	74.	90,	74	265 265	95	801	274	104	1045	104	3 88	105	902	313	218	106	202	249 109	244	5 8	251	197	237	267	385	268 291
MOTION 2/Day P	0.383 1 0.381 1 0.380 1 0.212 2	25 25 25 25 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26	383	191	340 065	117	274	252	.262	232	272	. 266	261	323	247	234	072	. 138	208	154	218	208	. 113	202	160.	.185	.236	. 200	. 310 . 198 . 288
E T RSOI Iype	662 S 662 S 662 S 663 C	/84 491	768	339	784 1374	363	251	/86 786	675	777	776	777	777	251	778	779	487	997	782	685	149) 7887	1080	789	1492	791 3005	668	1864	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	1462 U 725 349 C
P L A N	186 0.13 186 0.13 186 0.14 156 0.14	222 0.21 160 0.23	208 0.16 208 0.16	113 0.09	222 0.23 199 0.09	107 0.08	186 U.15 92 0.07	222 0.25 222 0.25	186 0.15	200 0.14 175 0.15	208 0.18	208 0.18	208 0.18	75 U.10 92 0.07	20	20	105 0.05	222 0.27 178 0.10	202	186	250	202	220	250 0.17	208 0.21 178 0.10	155 0.12	025 0.77 1	20 14.81 '	2/0 0.14 100 0.03 113 0.12
M I N O R Name km-	Hebe Hebe Hebe Aglaja	4	te d	ה ח			ā						Winchester				יס כ		7 Winchester	G	a	į	au _	genia	ncheste Ptoria		res	uphrosyn enus 12	705yn ley
_ <u>\$</u>	2007 4	~ ∞ í		27	334	365	20 <u>1</u>		9	48 804	747	747	747	232	747	747	545	790	747	9	45	48	747	45	747	238	; 7 ;	2 5	31 751
1E 1	15	77.	23	33	25	ı O	99	ש פי	σ,	5	<u>~</u> =	4 4	<u> </u>	9 [1	9 2	22	2.2	23	26 26	ر س	2	4 4	4 40	φα	212	7 2 5		
198 DA1	Sep Sep Sep Sep	Sep	Sep	Sep	Sep	Oct.	0 0 0 0 0	000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 00	0ct	Oct Oct	Oct		Oct		Oct 5	oct oct	oct Oct	o ct	oct 0	or t	Oct No.	<u> </u>	>	<u> </u>	> 0 N	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	§ ≥ .	≥ ≥ ≥ ≥ :	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2

128	
Table 1, Part E	
hem. Source comans 7 comans 7 comans 7 in 1982 in 1982 in 1982 in 1983 anham anham anham in 1981 in 1981 in 1981 in 1983	1982 ans7 et77 1979 et78
Ephem. Source Yeomans7 Yeomans7 FMP 1982 Branham Herget78 Herget78 Herget78 EMP 1982 EMP 1983 Branham EMP 1983 Branham EMP 1981 Branham EMP 1981 Branham EMP 1981 Herget78 EMP 1981	EMP 1982 Yeomans7 Herget77 EMP 1979 Herget78 EMP 1980
andam s smmsm ms as	
N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	
9···	22+ 26+ 3 26+ 3 55+ 5 55+ 8 59+
R EI	/- <i>(</i>
	161 166 106 a 176 162 175
India India Co?n Chin co?n Chin anada anada co?n co?n	ıfric
Possible Area New Zealand?s; Papua India?n; Cuador, see note Brazil, Ecuador, see note Brazil, Ecuador, see note Alaska?n; e. Siberia, China, India 16 central Africa w. U.S.A., Japan, China, e. India 17 northern South America; Mexico?n 14 Arabia, India, south central China 7 South Africa, eastern Brazil Eastern Canada?s Colombia, Mexico; Hawaii?s northern U. S. A.; Hawaii?s Mexico, Hawaii?n; Maylaysia northern U. S. A.; w. Canada 15 S'mtra, Sri Lanka?n; Maylaysia nw Africa, ne U. S. A., sw Canada 15 Sinkiang, Kazak SSR, Urals, northern and western Canada, rorthwest USA Mexico?n w. cen. Africa, ne USA, sw Canada 15 northwest Africa, ne USA, sw Canada 15 northern South America; Mexico?n 15 northern South America; Mexico?n 16 s and w Africa, Georgia (U.S.A.) 16	R. Cen. A
Possible Area New Zealand?s; Papua India?n; central Africa Brazil, Ecuador, see note Alaska?n; e.Siberia, China, central Africa w. U.S.A., Japan, China, e. northern South America; Mex Arabia, India, south centra South Africa, eastern Brazi eastern Canada?s Colombia, Mexico; Hawaii?s northern U. S. A.; Hawaii?s Mexico, Hawaii?n; papua,w. Aus Kenya; Sri Lanka?n; Maylays northern Sri Lanka?n; Maylays northwest Africa, ne U.S. A., sw northwest Africa, Florida, onthwest Africa, ne USA, sw northern South America; Mex northern South America; Mex and w Africa, Georgia (U.	no O
Possible Area New Zealand?s; Papua India?n; central Africa Brazil, Ecuador, see no Alaska?n; e.Siberia, Ch central Africa w. U.S.A., Japan, China northern South America; Arabia, India, south ce South Africa, eastern B eastern Canada?s Colombia, Mexico; Hawai morthern U. S. A.; Hawa Mexico, Hawai?n; Colombia, Mexico; Hawai northern U. S. A.; Hawa Mexico, Hawai?n; May morthern Sri Lanka?n; May northwest Africa, Florian and western Canada, north onthwest Africa, Florian onthwest Africa, Horian onthwest Africa, Horian and Western South America; northern South America; s and w Africa, Georgia	Iran, western U. S. S. Hawaii, China, India northwest South Americ Indonesia, Sri Lanka, South Africa?n Patagonia
e Area land?s; P central ceusdor, ceusdor, deal Africa, ea India, s frica, ea Canada?s a, Mexico n U. S. A Hawaii?n; Sri Lanka ca, ne U. S. A Africa, n South A	stern China, China, t South a, Sri rica?n
Zealan Zealan Zealan Zealan Echica Zealan Echica Zealan Zia, Ingita, Ingita, Ingita, Ingita, Sri Sri Sri Sri Sri Sri Sri Keng, Echica, Echica Zei Sri Keng, Echica Zei	west , Ch est sia, Afri nia
Possible Area New Zealand?s; India?n; centra Brazil, Ecuador Alaska?n; centra Alaska?n; centra Alaska?n; contro W. U.S.A., Japan northern South Arabia, India,, South Africa, e eastern Canada?? Colombia, Mexic Colombia, Mexic Mexico, Hawaii?n Me	Iran, western U Hawaii, China, northwest South Indonesia, Sri South Africa?n Patagonia
P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P	THE STAN
L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L	20 11 23 20 21
0.0 C C U B B 3 S 1 S 1 S 1 S 1 S 1 S 1 S 1 S 1 S 1 S	2 33 33 16 16 16 16 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
-	50 0. 00 8. 16 2. 48 1. 43 3.
0)0 222 222 223 224 224 337 444 444	26 26 19
~ — E	29.6 50.4 39.1 44.3 26.1 54.0
××	9 - 2 - 2 - 9
T 000.02.02.00	3 A5
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	78743
4.78 4.78 4.78 5.1.26 6.93 3.1.22 5.3.40 6.93	1, 21 36 36 36 1, 22 1, 22
4 4400-00000000 -0- 00000	949L99
N N S S S S S S S S	ter 10. y 20.9 12.4 10.8 ter 10.
וון	Winchester P/Halley 2 Eugenia 1 Ate 1 Winchester Nuwa 1
IME 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	30-38 56-59 00-19 00-10
UNIV UNIV 13 15 12 13 15 13 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	20 20 20 20
ω -	Dec 27 Dec 27 Dec 29 Dec 30 Dec 30 Dec 31
್ ಕ್ಕ್ರಾಪ್ಪಪ್ಪಪ್ಪಪ್ಪಪ್ಪಪ್ಪ ವಿವಾಪ್ಪಿಸಿ	<u>خەتە</u> مە

Neptune is from "Predicted Occultations by Neptune, 1981-1984" by D. Mink, A. Klemola, and J. Elliot, Astronomical Journal 86 (12), 135. That article and another one by the same authors in the same issue of Astron. J. list some occultations of fainter stars by Uranus and by Neptune, which probably will be detectable only with photoelectric equipment used with large telescopes at (Text continues on next page)

Table 3.

Table .	٥.							
Ephem	eris D	iffere	nces	for	1984			
				Epheme	eris			
Date	MP#	Shift	Δt	Source				
Jan 12	154	1:245	10 <mark>.</mark> 2	Herg	get 78			
Jan 14	49	0.30S	١.١	EMP	1983			
Jan 16	154	1.38\$	7.5	Hero				
Jan 20	165(both		0.2	EMP	1980			
Jan 26	41	0.45N	3.5	EMP	1976			
Jan 29	46	0.025	-0.7	EMP	1980			
Jan 31	154	1.515	3.3	Hero	·			
Feb 10 Feb 22	154	1.49S 42.75S	2.5 -63.0	Hero				
Feb 22 Feb 23	268 386	0.935	-03.0	Hero Hero				
Feb 25	31	2.87S	8.7	Hero				
Mar 4	241	0.59N	-11.2	Bardwe	'			
Mar 23	114	0.24N	1.7	EMP	1982			
Mar 26	10	0.098	-4.8	_	zynski			
Mar 31	11	1.23\$	5.0	EMP	1 980			
May 2	128	0.445	3.2	EMP	1977			
May 11	230	1.87N	5.1	Hero				
May 19	230	2.03N	5.7	Hero				
May 30	104	0.02N	68.8	EMP	1980			
Jun 1	85	0.068	-1.4	ITA	1977			
Jun 2	47	0.06N	1.0	EMP Emp	1983 1981			
Jun 2 Jun 2	602 624	1.25S 0.68N	-21.0 -56.4		1901 aet 78			
Jun 4	70	0.60E	0.5	EMP	1980			
Jun 8	13	0.07N	-0.9	EMP	1980			
Jun 24	145	0.61N	1.3	Hero				
Jul 14	139	0.36S	6.9	ITA	1974			
Jul 16	386	1.785	-0.1	Hero	get 77			
Jul 16	211	0.258	-0.1	EMP	1983			
Aug 8	87	0.12N	-1.8	EMP	1983			
Aug 19 Aug 21	747 747	1.70N 1.74N	-3.3 -3.4	Here	,			
Aug 21	104	1.74N 1.62S	-3.4	Hero E M P	1980			
Aug 28	747	1.89N	-3.5	Hero				
Sep 2	747	2.00N	-3.6	Hero	,			
Sep 16	47	0.065	-2.3	EMP	1983			
Sep 21	747	2.46N	-4.4	Hero	get 78			
Sep 23	747	2.53N	-4.5	Hero	jet 78			
Sep 23	747	2.54N	-4.5	Hero				
0ct 2	334	0.948	39.2	Hero				
Oct 12	804	0.568	-13.2	4.1	1982			
0ct 14	747	3.17N	-6.7	Here				
Oct 15 Oct 21	747 790	3.20N 0.55N	-6.9 -3.0	Hero Hero	,			
Oct 24	712	0.16W	-0.7	Here	2			
Nov 2	747	3.84N	-14.7	Her	,			
Nov 6	747	4.00N	-20.8	Hero	,			
Nov 8	790	1.01N	-2.6	Hero	-			
Nov 28	747	4.85N	18.3	Her	,			
Dec 3	40	0.91N	6.5	EMP	1975			
Dec 10	776	4.138	-17.5	EMP	1980			
Dec 11	747	5.43S	-9.0	EMP	1982			
Dec 16 Dec 23	184 747	0.50N 5.83N	2.6 6.8	Hero Hero				
Dec 23 Dec 25	747 747	5.90N	6.3	Here	,			
DCC LJ	, ,,	3.5011	0.5	ile i	900 /0			

major observatories. In addition, Philip Nicholson (Center for Radiophysics and Space Research, Cornell University) and Keith Matthews (Caltech) have provided me with a list of 39 additional occultations by Neptune during 1984 which probably can be recorded with infrared detectors; 20 of these events will

Table 2, Part E

lable	۷, ۲	d r	l i	-																					, cu	1 3	0.
R E N T Dec.	11°57		23 51																						26 46		
A P P A F R.A.	6 ^h 25 ^m 8 6 25.4	6 52.8	5 41.2	9.75 9	5 35.3	9.949	12 48.5	6 44.6	6 44.3	8 23.1	5 29.2	5 28.0	0 41.9	6 31.8	5 33.5	6 29.4	5 22.1	6 32.9	6 35.1	6 35.0	6 32.4	6 31.4	5 52.3	1 40.9	6 46.4	6 28.0	6 56.1
e e		- - - -		0.4			0.5						-0.8			0.5									9.0		0.0
COMPARISON DATA K3 No Shift Ti		-0:19		-0.25			-0.55						-0.30			0.07									0.29		0.01
MPAR I		783		798			1322						83		505	733					801				711		654
CON AGK3		° Z		N22			N14						e Z		N24	N37					X 4				N26		6 [Z
S	ں ں	AS	ں	×	ں	ں	AS	ں	ں	ပ	ں	ں	PΑ	ں	⋖	AS	ب	ب	ں	ں	⋖	ں	ں	ں	Χ	ں	ΧA
ER df		0.3		0.8			0.7						9.0		5.7	3.6					0.2				0.4		0.3
AMETE Time		14		56			=						17		123	7					m				9		^
AR DI		57		179			263						158		1690	900					37				92		94
STELLAR DIAMETER m. Time		90.0		0.18			0.11						0.13		1.05	0.75					0.04				0.09		90.0
٥.		573		1533			2550						94		862	521					12				1353		
A DM No		+01°1573		+22 1			-14 2						-03		+24	_					+4 131				+26 1		
ا ا							+ 98						+ 96		•	54									43		00
SAO		114569		78926			100286						109396			59154									78743		96300
		296		287			96																		266		274
MOTION /Day P/	203	[[234	17.	. 256	. 204	.231	. 224	. 226	.105	. 261	.260	.183	.262	.205	.252	247	1.279	. 283	283	1.291	1.294	1.275	. 101	0.245	. 299	0.217
Type ?	0.0) C	0	0	0		0 ၁									_							Ç				_
• !	<i>~~</i> ~	C)	· ~	S	S	<u>ر</u>	S	ပ _	ن	<i>ن</i>	S	5	S	S	ii o	ີ ເ	S	2 2	Э С	၁ ဗ	ပ	7 C	m	_ ე) 2	ပ _	ည က
E T RSOI	723	80.5	808	373	815	8	1045	821	825	1302	8	816	326	720	626	336	82(837	83	83,	836	83,	68	1499	28	84.	62.
R PLAN km-diam"	03	23	33	7	33).24	a 183 0.07	. 24).24	2	33	33	60	20	80	8	32). 24).24). 24).24	0.24	.03	14	.16	0.24	60.
a ii.	00	2		Ö	o	8	2	8	80	0	0	o	Ö	0	0	0	0	80	8	80	80	80	0	0	156 0.	208 (0 /
d.	55	<u>ر</u> د	222	=	222	r 20	a 18	r 20	r 20	20	222	22	0	38	13,	0	22	r 20	r 20	r 2(r 20	۳ 2(0	25(5	ر د	2
ᅐᅔ	ر د و	φ. 	;	ja		ste	ici	ste	ste	ы			ina		ď	i >		ste	ste	ste	ste	ste	ě	, M		ester	
I N O Name	la]]	9 d	i V	, LOII,	S	che	ber.	iche	che	oim.	S	S	ò		iope	700	်	che	che	che	che	che	[a]	ieni	Ate 15	Winche	Ę,
⊷ <i>Ζ</i> ∣ Σ	P/H	3	Ī	Har	I	=	Ber	3	3	Her	Ī	Ī	Ţe	He	De	Att	Ir	Ξ	Ē	Ī	3	=	P/1	Ĕ.	Ate	3	Š
<u>8</u>		747	. ~	40	_	747	776	747	747	121	7	_	345	9	184	161	7	747	747	747	747	747		45		747	150
≆ ⊞	7. 24 P/Halley 100 0.03	3 %	}	. ო	^	σ	2	=	15	12	3	4	2	9	9		20	22	23					58	30	30	3
1984 DATE	No. 5	N S	9 6	Dec 2	Dec 1	Dec	Dec	Dec	Dec	Dec	Dec	Dec	Dec	o O	Dec	Dec	Dec	Dec	Dec	Dec	Dec	Dec	Dec	Dec	Dec	Dec	Dec

be observable under good conditions from large observatories at either Palomar Mountain, Mauna Kea, Cerro Tololo, Siding Spring, or Sutherland. Copies of this list can be obtained either from Nicholson or from me.

Most of the asteroidal occultations of AGK3 and SAO stars originally were found by Gordon Taylor and

published either in his IAU Working Group Bulletin 29 or in "Occultations of Stars by the Four Largest Minor Planets, 1981-1989," in Astron. J. 86 (6), 903. The following occultations not found by Taylor were published by L. Wasserman, E. Bowell, and R. Millis in "Occultations of Stars by Solar System Objects. IV. Occultations of Catalog Stars by Asteroids in 1984 and 1985" in Astron. J. 88 (11), 1670 (1983 Nov.): by (704), Feb. 17; (386), Feb. 23; (31), Feb 25; (114), Mar. 23; (201), Mar. 27 & Oct. 6 & 17; (326) on Apr. 19, May 11 & 15, June 2 & 4, and Aug. 4; (230) on May 19; (47), June 2; (70), June 4; (13), June 8; (209), Sept. 4; (735), Oct. 16; (790), Oct. 21; (345), Dec. 15; (184), Dec. 16; and (161), Dec. 17. The possible occultation by Halley's Comet on Jan. 7 was given by Bowell, Wasserman, and Millis in Bull. Amer. Astron. Soc. 15 (3), 804. The occultation by (1) Ceres on Nov. 13 was reported by Millis, Wasserman, Bowell, Franz, and Klemola in "Asteroid Occultations — Progress and Prospects" in B.A.A.S. 15 (3), 882.

Andrew Lowe has undertaken some manual searches of the SAO Catalog in an attempt to find some additional events, as he has done successfully during the past couple of years. However, he was not able to find any useful events not already found by Taylor or by Wasserman et al. Lowe used the same reasonable rejection criteria used by Wasserman et al.: The asteroid must have reasonably good orbital elements, agreeing with recent observations to within 4": the asteroid must subtend at least 0.08 (except for some asteroids of special interest, including 44, 170, 171, 433, 617, 624, and 2060); the predicted central occultation duration must be at least 5 seconds; and the elongation from the sun must be at least 45°. However, while Wasserman et al. used only diameters from the TRIAD file, Lowe used the diameters published in o.n. 3 (2), 24, where TRIAD values had been augmented with more observations and revised classifications reported by Edward Tedesco at I.A.U. Colloquium 75 at Toulouse, France, in 1982. Even so, Lowe found no new events.

The astronomers at Lowell have scanned Lick Observatory plates to find more occultations by some asteroids during 1984. The results just recently have been submitted to Astron. J. for publication, but have become available too late for inclusion in the lists below. Some of these events probably have been found independently in my A.C. searches discussed below. If there are any other useful events

which will occur before the next issue of o.w. is distributed, I will try to distribute advance predictions to regional coordinators.

History of Astrographic Catalog Searches. IOTA Obtained magnetic tape copies of several of the northern Astrographic Catalog zones from the Stellar Data Center in Strasbourg, France, in 1977, as described in O.N. 1 (9), 97. They were obtained to include fainter stars in predictions for both lunar and asteroidal occultations. The data were a little difficult to use, being ordered by the astrographic plates, rather than in right ascension order. wrote a computer program to extract data for selected small regions and sort the stars within the region in order of increasing right ascension. This was used mainly for star clusters to form the main basis for the USNO "J" and later "C" catalogs for extended lunar occultation predictions, first distributed in late 1977, as described in o.n. 1 (12), 123. Occultations of A.C. stars by asteroids were first computed at the same time and published in o. N. 1 (12), 127. But the latter work was haphazard, depending on manual searches by D. Wallentinsen and others to identify when an asteroid would cross an open cluster, so relatively few events were published. The relatively high frequency of A.C. events for a given asteroid was demonstrated with my articles, "Occultations of A.C. Stars by (24) Themis," in o.n. 2 (5), 43 and "Occultations of A.C. Stars by (27) Euterpe" in o.n. 2 (7), 73. In spite of the early 1900's epoch of most of the A.C. plates, modern astrometry by Klemola and Penhallow has demonstrated that the A.C. positions are within 1" of the current positions for the large majority of faint A.C. stars, an accuracy which rivals that of most asteroid ephemerides. Most of these faint stars are very distant and have negligible proper motions. However, when possible, new astrometric observations are recommended to improve the A.C. position and identify stars whose positions now differ from the predicted positions due to significant proper motion.

For a long time, I had planned to compare asteroid ephemerides with A.C. data automatically to find occultations of many stars not in the AGK3 and SAO catalogs. But other commitments prevented my making the important first step of sorting all of the A.C. data from France into right ascension order and eliminating duplicates (most A.C. stars appear on at least two plates). Alain Fresneau finally accomplished this job at the Space Telescope Science Institute in Baltimore last year, to help set up the Guide Star Selection System for the Space Telescope. He published his procedures in an article, "Survey of the Astrographic Catalog from 1 to 31 Degrees of Northern Declination," in Astron. J. 88 (9), 1378 and gave a formatted magnetic tape with 1,025,208 A.C. stars to Wayne Warren at the Astronomical Data Center at Goddard Space Flight Center for distribution to the astronomical community (A few of the stars on Fresneau's tape were out of order; Warren corrected this). I obtained a copy and produced a binary version at USNO for more efficient use with the IBM 4341 computer there. During the course of this work, I found 27,897 duplicate entries, less than 30 of which I felt were possible true double stars. I deleted all of the duplicates from my binary copy, but sent a tape containing only the duplicates to Fresneau, so that he could trace their origin. I modified my occultation search computer

program to read the binary tape for comparison with both retrograde and prograde parts of an ephemeris. The program would not find occultations which occur within a couple of days of a stationary point or near the $24^{\rm h}-0^{\rm h}$ discontinuity in right ascension, but stars near these troublesome points were printed so that any events missed in the automatic part of the search could be found manually.

Occultation of Astrographic Catalog Stars during 1984. Since some manual work still was involved and time was short for including the A.C. events with the others for 1984 in this issue of O.N. (the work was begun in mid-November, 1983, it was not practical to consider the hundreds of asteroids involved in the searches performed at the Royal Greenwich and Lowell Observatories. I limited my search to those asteroids with diameters of at least 200 km (according to Tedesco, see above) which have oppositions between declinations of -2° and +33° during 1984 or late 1983, as well as a few smaller asteroids of special interest. Unfortunately, (532) Herculina, (171) Ophelia, and several other interesting asteroids are in the Southern Hemisphere, entirely south of the area covered by the French A.C. The asteroids which I included in my searches for 1984 have the following numbers: 1-4, 6, 7, 9 (occultation binary suspect), 12 (speckle binary suspect), 19, 24, 31, 41, 45, 48, 49, 65, 88, 121, 130, 154, 165, 194, 324, 375, 386, 423, 624, 747, and 2060. Halley's Comet (P/Halley) was also included; its ephemeris was generated from the latest orbital elements supplied by Don Yeomans at J.P.L. and used a numerical integration program which includes non-gravitational forces. The ephemerides which I generated for the searches were restricted to the declinations noted above and to elongations greater than 45° from the sun. The faint A.C. stars would be difficult to observe closer to the sun (favorable closer-elongation events of brighter SAO and AGK3 stars presumably would have been found by Taylor). Occultations also were rejected if the asteroid angular diameter was less than 0.08, when even last-minute astrometry likely would give a poor prediction of the path relative to its width. This restriction was waived in the case of asteroids of special interest, such as (9) Metis, (12) Victoria, (624) Hektor, and (2060) Chiron. No Chiron events were found. Both Cincinnati (Herget) and Leningrad ephemerides were used for the searches for (154) Bertha and (747) Winchester, since these differed by two or more arc seconds and recent observations could not distinguish which is the better ephemeris (in each case, the Leningrad orbit seems to be favored slightly). For the others, I have used what I consider to be the best orbit, the source being given in Table 1 for individual events.

Observational Considerations for the Astrographic Catalog Occultations. Many of the asteroids considered in my A.C. searches are considerably brighter than the faint A.C. stars, so that their occultations can be recorded only photoelectrically. This is especially the case for (1) Ceres and (4) Vesta; no prediction is given if the blue-magnitude Δm is less than 0.05. However, the Δm 's in the table are computed from asteroid V magnitudes, while only photographic (blue) magnitudes are available for the A.C. stars. This is for conformance with other catalogs, where V or photovisual magnitudes are available, and a visual Δm is desired. But most faint A.C. stars are brighter visually (because most have

later spectral types than AO) than their A.C. magnitude, sometimes by more than a magnitude. Hence, the actual Δm 's often will be a few to several tenths larger than given in Table 1 for the A.C. stars. So visual observers should check the relative magnitudes of the star and asteroid if the predicted Δm is about 0.4 or larger.

Finder charts have been prepared with an expanded scale from the A.C. data for a square 1 degree on a side centered near the target star. This square is shown in the AGK3-based plot drawn at the same scale as finder charts appearing in previous issues of ϕ . v., which, however, are now only 3° on a side to conserve space. In some cases, small-scale Atlas-Coeli-based charts are not included due to lack of time. A.C.-based charts also are included for AGK3 stars fainter than about mag. 8.5, since the fainter stars help to identify the proper target star. Since Ceres and Vesta are brighter than 8th magnitude, they can be located reliably with the AGK3based charts. Therefore, A.C.-based charts usually are not produced for them. In general, only the A. C.-based charts in $\phi.N.$ have been checked against the True Visual Star Atlas for Northern Hemisphere stars. I do not do this manual checking for unpublished finder charts which I distribute directly to regional coordinators in other countries.

When Fresneau produced his tape, none of the A.C. designations were saved. Hence, no numbers are given for these stars; they can be identified only by their coordinates and magnitudes. Fresneau may save the original designations on a future version of his tape. In the meantime, we will use the current tape as well as we can. When Lowell and the Royal Greenwich observatories obtain copies of Fresneau's tape, they probably will use their more efficient (at least, more automatic) computer-search programs to extend the A.C. searches to smaller asteroids. My programs also might be used on other computers by one or two other IOTA members to extend the searches, or I will do it if I can find time to automate some of the manual procedures currently used. I also would like to add more catalog data, especially Yale Catalog stars which are in neither the AGK3, SAO, or Perth 70 catalogs, and IOTA's Southern Astrographic Catalog Project stars. Extension to all asteroids larger than 0.08 will result in many hundreds, even thousands, of events per year, and current publication methods will not be practical. An automatic method of geographically sorting the predictions will be needed, so that observers would be sent computer-produced data only for events in their regions, as we do now for lunar occultations. Then, only the very best events would be included in $\phi.N.$, mainly for the benefit of those who might travel to other regions for outstanding occultations. I have plans for how this might be accomplished, but it will take time to implement them.

Ephemorides and Stellar Data. General guidelines for the ephemerides used for my predictions were given in my article about 1983 events referenced above. Table 3, listing ephemeris differences for several 1984 events, is in the same format as last year's table. My comparisons with recent astrometric observations, aided by Brian Marsden and Conrad Bardwell at the Minor Planet Center in Cambridge, MA, showed that the Leningrad (Ephemerides of Minor Planets, or EMP) orbits were slightly better than Herget's orbits for (31) Euphrosyne, (241) Germania,

(624) Hektor, and (747) Winchester. However, differences were not very significant except for (624) Hektor. (194) Prokne's orbit was rated poor by Millis et al., so they excluded it from their searches (Herget did not compute an orbit for Prokne). However, I found that two of the four pairs of observations made since 1976 agreed within about 1" with the positions computed from the EMP 1983 orbit. On closer examination, it was found that the other two pairs would also be in good agreement if the observers had made a 1-hour error in the time of their exposures. An observation in November by Klemola confirms the good accuracy of the Leningrad orbital elements. On the other hand, the orbits for (268) Adorea disagree with recent data by tens of arc seconds for Leningrad and by several arc seconds for Herget. Herget's orbit, apparently the better one, predicts a wide miss, so the February 22nd occultation probably will not happen. The orbit for (365) Corduba is also poor, so the occultation predicted by it on October 6 probably will not occur.

I have used AGK3 positions and proper motions for many of my nominal predictions, rather than SAO data. This agrees with Taylor's and Lowell Observatory's practice, but it is the opposite of my practice in previous years. Good-quality astrometric observations usually favor the AGK3 data, but not always. Wayne Warren supplied me with Yale Catalog data for several stars, as well as some useful double star data. The individual components of four close double stars are listed separately in Tables 1 and 2.

Prediction Updates. The predictions for asteroidal occultations can be improved by astrometric observations to update the ephemeris and the star's position a few months in advance. The improvement can be quite good if the asteroid happens to pass near the star, perhaps during its retrograde loop, so that both objects can be photographed on the same plate. Sometimes these preliminary prediction improvements are published in o.n. or in Sky and Telescope, or distributed by mail by Gordon Taylor, by Lowell Observatory astronomers, or by me. But a very accurate prediction generally can not be made until the objects are close enough together to photograph on the same astrometric plate during their final approach, only a few days before the event. In these cases, those of us involved with computing the final predictions must concentrate on notifying those near the path; this is facilitated if those we contact telephone other observers in their areas. You can find out the latest predicted shift and time correction for upcoming asteroidal occultations by telephoning Astro-Alert in Chicago, IL, area 312, 259-2376, or Gordon Taylor at the Royal Greenwich Observatory, England, city code 0323, 833171, ext. 3252. Information also usually can be obtained from Paul Maley in Houston, TX, 713,488-6871 and, at least for events in the western U.S.A., from Lowell Observatory, Flagstaff, AZ, 602,774-3358.

Notes about Individual Events. There will be considerable moonlight interference for many of the 1984 events, especially for some of the faint A.C. stars, so pay attention to the lunar elongation and percent sunlit given in Table 1. For these cases, it would be useful to try to locate the star about a month before the occultation, when moonlight conditions might approximate those for the actual event. Any prior experience in locating the star, even in a

dark sky, will help on the night of the occultation. Notes about some of the events are given below:

Jan. 7, Halley's Comet: The nucleus is less than 100 km in diameter, but there may be some material in orbit 50 km or more from the nucleus.

Jan. 12, Halley's Comet: Klemola has measured a recent Lick Observatory plate to improve the star's position. His position is south of the A.C. position, which means that the occultation path is expected to shift north, off the earth's surface. But the ephemeris could be in error by at least 1", larger than the shift.

Jan. 20, Prokne: In early November, Prokne passed close to the star. Klemola obtained a plate, measurements of which indicate a 0.26 south shift and a correction to the time of 2.4 minutes early. The shift puts the path across Oregon, Louisiana, and southern Florida. Although the path is very likely to cross the U.S.A., the seeing was not good when the Lick plate was taken. This, combined with ephemeris drift, could cause the path to shift 0.5 from the above value.

Jan. 26: The star is Aitken Double Star (ADS) 3707. The 11.8-mag. B-component is 1.3 away, in position angle 344°. Good seeing will be needed in order to resolve the star and detect its occultation.

RELPOEARANCE

WELLINGTON

WELLINGTON

WELLINGTON

WELLINGTON

WAJOR AXIS OF RINGS 41°4

PRINGS 4

SATURN ON 1984 MARCH

OCCULTATION OF

 $\mbox{\tt Jan.}\ 27\colon$ The note for $\mbox{\tt Jan.}\ 12$ is also true for this event.

Feb. 25: The star is ADS 1654. The 8.6-mag, primary is 2"0 away in p.a. 344°; its occultation path misses the earth above Antarctica.

Mar. 4: The star is o Scorpii (Al Niyat or Zodiacal Catalog No. 2349 or ADS 10009), the brightest known to be occulted by an asteroid this year. A star brighter than Sigma is occulted by an asteroid larger than Germania about once every 35 years, on the average. The 3.0-mag, primary is probably a spectroscopic binary (hence, the double star code U) which might be resolved during this spectacular occultation. Lunar occultation observations show a 5.2-mag. component (component"2") which is probably now about 0.7 away, according to an analysis by Ed Nather and P. Wild given in Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific, Vol. 86, p. 116. Hence, the Am that actually will be seen when the primary is occulted will be 2.2, not 10.0, since the 5.2-mag. star will remain visible. It will be occulted along a separate path two minutes earlier and probably north of the primary, but the Am will be only 0.1, detectible only photoelectrically, since the primary will remain visible. The 8.3-mag. visual B component, 20" away in p.a. 273°, will not be occulted from the earth, missing it by 2". I have made tentative plans to observe the occultation of the primary star. NOAA satellite data for early March during the last 3 years indicate relatively clear skies over southern China, Indochina, and the northern Philippines, but poor weather prospects for northern China and Japan.

Mar. 25: This occultation will be difficult to see due to the faintness of the star relative to Saturn, and even photoelectric attempts may fail. The star will be visible for several minutes after it reappears from behind the ball of the planet and before it merges into the inner edge of Ring C.

Apr. 10: The 10.3-mag. B component is 0.48 away in p.a. 63° .

Apr. 19, Tamara: SAO 207516 is the secondary of a double star. The 8.6-mag. primary, SAO 207517, is 4.9 away in p.a. 118°, according to the Lick Index Catalog of Double Stars. But the difference in the current SAO positions is about 8" and implies that the occultation path for the primary misses the earth by 2" above South America. The SAO used relatively poor G.C. data.

Apr. 24: The star is in M35. Fred Schaaf, Mill-ville, NJ, informed me that this M35 passage had been predicted by Steve Albers.

May 2: The star is ADS 8938. Two 12th-magnitude companions 16" and 140" away will not be occulted.

May 12, Mars: The star is Z.C. 2173. This event will be very difficult, since Mars will be at opposition, with essentially no defect of illumination (dark crescent). Taylor predicts immersion at 15:23.0 at Tokyo, with emersion 17.0 minutes later in p.a. 78°. At Naini Tal, India, the corresponding times are 15:36.2 and 15:54.4 in p.a. 103°.


June 24: The star is 83 Leonis (Z.C. 1660 or ADS 8162). The 7.6-mag. B component (SAO 118865) is 28"

away in p.a. 149°, while a 10th-mag. companion is 90" away. Neither will be occulted by Fortuna.

July 22: This occultation might be seen by using high power to spread out Neptune's image, so that a higher effective Am can be achieved. The occultation may last less than 10 minutes for most Northern Hemisphere observers. The nominal northern limit passes just above the earth's north polar regions. The position I used combined SAO proper motion with Klemola's position. If Klemola's position alone were used, the northern limit of the occultation would cross North America.

Nov. 13: A possible north shift could bring more observatories in the southern U.S.A. into the path of this important event. A.C. data predict a path across the northern U.S.A., but the Klemola position for the star, used for the nominal prediction, is certainly better.

Nov. 19: The star is λ Sagittarii (Kaus Borealis or Z.C. 2672). Lunar occultations show that the star is single, with a diameter of 0.005. Venus will be 75% sunlit with a 3%6 defect of illumination. The location of the southern limit could be in error by 100 km or more. Central graze will be only 2° from the south cusp on the dark side as seen from the southern limit.



4.0


3.5


3.2


NO A C. PATA


1984 JAN 29 45 HESTER


Use a tracing of this cosine scale to estimate star altitude from the Soma world maps; place the 90° mark at the center of the circle, and read the star altitude at the observing site.





























Anonymous by Victoria 1984 Mar 10 SAO 118750 by Euterpe 1984 Mar 13 Anonymous by P/Halley 1984 Mar 14




SAO 159989 by Kassandra '84 Mar 23


EPHINNER IS SOURCE - MIRGETY/














CORRECTION CORRECTED

In order to hasten final assembly and mailing of this issue, the first twenty pages were taken to the printer before the figures for the remainder were available. In the interim, David Dunham phoned to say that the comment about the spelling of the name of asteroid (36) in the article "Planetary Occultations During 1984" is incorrect; the correct spelling is 'Atalante,' not Atlante sorry, the page number cannot be quoted, as the reference copy is still at the printer as this is being written.

We take this opportunity to mention that the tally coupon for 1983 total occultation timings is included in this issue, at least for those receiving the initial mailing.

The following article and notes are also last-minute additions to this issue.

THE INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE MAKES IT OFFICIAL

On January 3rd, Paul Maley phoned to say that word at last has been received from the I.R.S. that lOTA's request for tax-exempt status has been granted. In case anyone needs to know, our tax number is 36-3254326. We understand, from Maley, that the tax-exempt status is retroactive, for some purposes, to August 18th, 1983. We hope that someone will provide us with a further report on the ramifications of this action, for our next issue.

Maley emphasized that participation in the IOTA expedition for the total solar eclipse of 1984 November 23 now may be considered to come under the category of charitable contributions, at least in part, and for qualified individuals. See O.N. 3 (5), 98 to 100.

SOME IMPORTANT NOTES ABOUT ASTEROIDAL OCCULTATIONS

David W. Dunham

With the larger number of events during 1984, no astrometric updates will be made for many of them, even many possible in North America. Observers should make a habit of monitoring all observable appulses with miss distance less than 1.0 (typical error) at their location, or even within 2.0 (possible error for many events), when weather permits. Then, at least a few chords can be obtained and the asteroid's size determined if the occultation path does cross populous regions. No astrometry is likely for the occultation by (154) Bertha on Jan. 16 (a

conference on astrometry is being held at that time in Florida) and by (704) Interamnia on Feb. 17 (the star is relatively bright at mag. 8.0, but the altitude is too low to obtain astrometry in the Northern Hemisphere).

All of the finder charts in this issue have been checked with the True Visual Magnitude Atlas (TVMA). In general, the agreement with the A.C.-based charts is very good, even though blue-sensitive plates were used for the A.C. On the detailed chart for the occultation by (121) Hermione on January 23, two (probably red) stars (one, the star to be occulted, or "target star") are marked "FAINTER" because they appear much fainter on the TVMA, nearly as faint as the faintest A.C. stars shown. The A.C. plate magnitude limits are not uniform, and sometimes the target star does not appear in TVMA. These stars, indicated by notes on the charts, likely will require large telescopes for effective monitoring.

A good finder, such as an 8×50 with a field of view of at least 3°, is almost a necessity for locating objects in unusual parts of the sky, and is highly recommended. A very low-power, wide-field eyepiece (such as one with a 40-mm focal length) also is recommended with the main telescope for locating the target star. Once the star is identified positively, switch to a higher power if it is faint; this decreases the background brightness, which facilitates monitoring the star. For faint stars, use the highest power which still permits smooth tracking during the observing period.

Paul Maley's good article about last May's occultation of 1 Vulpeculae by (2) Pallas has been published in the current issue of Astronomy magazine.

NOTE: ADDITIONS TO THE MINUTES OF THE IOTA MEETING OF 1983 NOVEMBER 11

During the meeting, Paul Maley discussed plans for observing both solar eclipses in 1984; a graze of α Librae during the total lunar eclipse of May, 1985; and Halley's Comet and a lunar eclipse in early 1986.

Nine of the ballots designated D. W. Dunham as the proxy, and like Charles Herold, he cast all votes in favor of the motions.

The possible problems of the U. S. Naval Observatory are due to the departure of Dr. Van Flandern, rather than to budget cuts; see IOTA NEWS, p. 113.